The Civil War, from 1861 to 1865, is the centerpiece of our nation's story. It looms large, not merely because of its brutality and scope but because of its place in the course of American history. The seeds of war were planted long before 1861 and the conflict remains part of our national memory.

Geography has helped shape this narrative. The physical landscape influenced economic differences between the regions, the desire to expand into new territories, the execution of the conflict both in the field and on the home front, and the ways in which our recollections have been shaped.

Maps enable us to present the complex strands that, when woven together provide a detailed account of the causes and conduct of the war. These visual images remain a salient aspect of our memory. Photographs, prints, diaries, songs and letters from the richness of the Boston Public Library's many holdings enhance our ability to tell this story, when our nation, as a Currier & Ives cartoon depicts, was “Torn in Two.”

1. Before the War: Rising Tensions

Most Civil War timelines begin in April 1861 with the Confederate firing on Fort Sumter, a federal facility in South Carolina which had seceded five months earlier. Those shots were the technical start of the war but the underlying causes had been present for decades. Diversity had been the hallmark of the individual thirteen colonies, but becoming a nation took more than merely declaring independence.

These fledglings shared a common purpose. Hard work would result in the virtue and dignity necessary to participate in a republic. Yet tensions did not ebb as the founders had hoped, nor could compromise and political balance serve as a safeguard against increasing differences of economics, politics and moral sensibilities as the nation expanded physically and demographically.

Each side, South and North, slave and free, believed that it was the legitimate heir to fulfill the nation's destiny but they became so incompatible that the differences threatened to truly “tear the nation in two.”

Southern politicians also wanted to expand the nation but in service to an agricultural system built on slave labor. Limited rail transportation and few urban areas were not a problem for the realm where cotton was ‘king’. The small farmer could aspire to enter the planter aristocracy, as long as the new territories would allow slavery.

1a. One Country, Two Cultures

By 1861, life was very different north and south of the Mason Dixon line. Although neither was monolithic, the North was characterized by industry, reliable transportation and a wage labor force that had been bolstered by internal and transatlantic migration. A burgeoning middle class arose and a new Republican Party promised that the free soil of western territories would enable all men to participate in fulfilling the founding vision.
1b. Anti-Slavery Movement

Many southerners defended slavery as a “great moral, social, and political blessing” -- far superior to the wage “slavery” of the North. Yet there was increasing opposition. Some decried its economic inefficiency. Others saw it as an impediment to the growing republic. Slaves could go back to Africa but not into the new territories of the United States.

At the core of the opposition were those who objected to slavery on moral and humanitarian grounds. This group was an extension of other social movements of the time and an intellectual heir of the Transcendentalists. Located throughout the Northeast with a strong New England presence, proponents called for the complete abolition of slavery throughout the Union and its territories. They provided aid for those who bravely ran away, supplying guidance, resources, and safe harbor along the Underground Railroad.

1c. Sectionalism & Westward Expansion

While the war would pit North against South, it was the West that became the catalyst for pre-war tensions. Physical expansion, with little regard for native populations, marked the nation's first decades, beginning with the Louisiana Purchase and then other lands gained via treaty or war.

Indeed it was the nation’s Manifest Destiny to expand to the Pacific Coast, but which vision would prevail in these new territories? Compromise and political balance had enabled this growth, but after the War with Mexico, the nation struggled to determine the destiny of these lands -- slave or free. For a decade before Fort Sumter, Americans battled in the courts, state houses, Congress and on the land itself. Lincoln’s election in 1860 led the southern states to believe that secession was their only option.

2. During the War: Nation in Conflict

The Civil War was unlike any other in United States history. Fought completely on American soil, armies were most successful when they had accurate knowledge of the terrain. The South had an early advantage, not only because its troops had superior military leaders, but because most of the battles occurred on its home territory. Thus, the Confederacy needed to wage a defensive campaign only. Reliable cartographic information was limited or absent and severely hindered northern efforts, often resulting in high casualties.

The war was all encompassing and every American had a personal stake in its course. Soldiers were recruited, supplied, trained, and transported. Casualties were high and many civilians helped care for the wounded. Those on the home front raised money, provided comfort and longed for news of their loved ones. Visual images such as maps, photographs, cartoons and prints told the story as it unfolded. Traditional means such as letters, diaries and sketches also provided detailed accounts of the conflict.

2a. Living Room War

From today’s perspective, many regard Vietnam as the first “living room” war, with evening television programs bringing the latest news to kitchen tables and living rooms across America. But the Civil War was really the first! Just as we go online or watch CNN for immediate updates on the current conflict, those on the Civil War home front looked to daily newspapers and weekly magazines for current news on the war. Advances in communications, transportation and technology enabled information to be spread more rapidly than ever before.

The press told this story with words and images by publishing cartoons, photographs and sketches. Theater of war and battle maps allowed viewers to follow troop progress. Traditional and more personal methods of communication, such as telegrams, soldiers’ letters, and diaries, brought poignant accounts of war.
2b. Geography of War

Terrain influenced strategies and often determined outcome. Knowledge of the landscape meant victory or defeat. Except for coastal areas, there were few large-scale topographic maps of the theaters of war available to military strategists prior to engagement. These factors gave military leadership in the South an initial advantage.

The North created broad geographical strategies that would capitalize on its economic strengths, particularly the disruption of trade which included a naval blockade of southern ports, control of the Mississippi River, and destruction of supply routes. Both sides were determined to capture each other's capital. Although Washington, DC, was threatened several times, the Confederate capital of Richmond remained an elusive goal until the war's end.

3. After the War: Remembering Heroes and Battles

How do we remember something? What images linger? And how do these visual recollections affect our memory of events?

How we choose to tell the story of the war that almost dissolved our nation suggests its magnitude, importance, and centrality in our collective and individual memories. Visual images comprise an important part of this narrative. As technology improved during the second half of the 19th century, the ability to create and disseminate memorable images expanded greatly. What the viewer understood or remembered varied, but the presence of a common visual experience was part of the formation of a collective culture with a shared sensibility.

Yet different memories of the same events persist, leading to conflicting interpretations of the past. What is consistent is the need to remember, and to find some way to honor and thank those who, as Lincoln proclaimed, sacrificed their lives "to that cause for which they gave the last full measure of devotion."
3A. Remembering the Battle of Gettysburg

Few events have loomed larger in the history of the war or our nation’s memory than the three-day battle of Gettysburg in southern Pennsylvania in July 1863. But how has that turning point been remembered? We have Lincoln’s few short words delivered at the dedication of the national cemetery, Matthew Brady’s photographs, and as was common practice, maps drawn after the battle.

The Gettysburg battle maps were drawn under the auspices of an increasingly powerful Federal government, and subject to a persuasive call for common standards. These and many more maps, along with other artistic presentations, have shaped our collective understanding and recollection of this most important battle that illustrates and symbolizes our nation being almost “torn in two.”


3B. Remembering Heroes

Given the magnitude of the war, there has been a strong desire to honor both individuals and groups that participated. Who is memorialized and the ways in which these memories are portrayed shape our understanding of the past. The war has been memorialized throughout the United States with each locality emphasizing its contribution and loss. These memorials range from elaborately decorated posters to music scores to monuments constructed of stone or bronze. They all remind us of the conflict’s lingering presence and the individual loss felt by so many of the nation’s families.
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